

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/750,475	12/28/2000	Lynh Nguyen	STL919990134US3/A8644	7832	
46159 7590 03/09/2012 SUGHRUE MION PLLC USPTO CUSTOMER NO WITH IBM/SVL			EXAMINER		
			CHANKONG, DOHM		
	NSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. IGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2452		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/09/2012	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)
	09/750,475	NGUYEN, LYNH
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DOHM CHANKONG	2452

DC	HM CHANKONG	2452				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2012 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
 W The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following repli application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR periods: 	same day as filing a Notice of es: (1) an amendment, affidavi with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request				
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ander 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) is set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any examed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.746(b).						
NOTICE OF APPEAL C ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complianc filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since				
AWELDWINENTS						
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or 	orm for appeal by materially re-	ducing or simplifying the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corre NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	esponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. S	See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowan on-allowable claim(s). 	ble if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment canceling the				
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is for will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 3°CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 3. Other:						
	T					
	/DOHM CHANKONG/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2452				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that:

1. Albert does not disclose a port module bypassing the connection manager in the subsequent request;

2. Guenthner does not disclose a port module sending an error message to the interface module indicating the unavailability of the data source.

With respect to Applicant's first argument, Applicant further agues that Albert is not concerned with the subsequent request for the data source. Source because "the packets of Albert are not requests for the data source." This argument is not persuasive processes the packet are being sent to a server (i.e., a data source) [column 6 «lines 60-63»]. The data flows that Applicant focuses on in the arguments are merely flows that connect the client to the server and are used to transport packets between them. Therefore, future packets ignest from the client are directed to the server which is analogous to a data source. Albert therefore discloses using the forwarding agent (i.e., port module) to bypass the service manager (i.e., connection manager) and are directed to a server (i.e., data source).

With respect to Applicant's second argument, Applicant further argues that Guenthner merely discloses returning an error when there is no entry in a table that is marked "Current" and that this does not provide a teaching of "(i) an error message being sent to the interface module and (ii) and error message indicating the unavailability of the data source." Guenthner's HAL represents the current status of data sources is sources. That is, the HAL represents whether a data source is available or unavailable [Fig. 4: status of "OX" means that the data source is not available. Guenthner's parsing of the HAL represents a determination of whether or not servers are available. If the HAL indicates that no servers are available, are ror message is sent to the user's browser (i.e., Interface module).

For the foregoing reasons, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.